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Introduction

- This tutorial demonstrates a method of calculating the effective stress relaxation stiffness of linear viscoelastic composites using ABAQUS.
- A fiber reinforced polymer matrix composite is used as an example in which the polymer is assumed to be linear viscoelastic behavior while the fiber is linear elastic material.
- The present methodology can be readily extended to other types of composites such as particle reinforced composites, chopped fiber reinforced composites, etc.
Periodic boundary conditions

The composites can be idealized as assembly of many periodic unit cells to which the periodic boundary conditions are consequently applied, which means that the deformation mode in each unit cell are identical and there is no gap or overlap between the adjacent unit cells. The periodic boundary conditions are represented as

\[ u_i = \varepsilon_{ij}x_j + v_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( \varepsilon_{ij} \) is the average strain; \( v_i \) is the periodic part of the displacement components also called local fluctuation on the boundary surfaces. The displacements on a pair of opposite boundary surfaces are given by

\[ u_i^{k+} = \varepsilon_{ij}x_j^{k+} + v_i^{k+} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ u_i^{k-} = \varepsilon_{ij}x_j^{k-} + v_i^{k-} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where “\( k^+ \)” denotes along the positive \( x_j \) direction while “\( k^- \)” means along the negative \( x_j \) direction. Since the periodic parts \( v_i^{k+} \) and \( v_i^{k-} \) are identical on the two opposite boundary surfaces of a periodic unit cell, the difference of Eq. (2) and (3) is obtained as

\[ u_i^{k+} - u_i^{k-} = \varepsilon_{ij}(x_j^{k+} - x_j^{k-}) = \varepsilon_{ij}\Delta x_j \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( \Delta x_j \) is actually the edge length of the unit cell.
In this study, the fiber is assumed to be of circular shape and in square array.

- The unit cell model is meshed by C3D8R elements.
- Sweep mesh technique was used in order to obtain periodic mesh on opposite boundary surfaces, which means that the meshes on opposite boundary surfaces are identical.
- In the present study, the fiber direction is along -1.
  The edge length of the unit cell along 1, 2, and 3 direction are respectively $\Delta x_1 = 0.1$ mm and $\Delta x_2 = \Delta x_3 = 1$ mm.
Material properties of the constituents

In the present example, the ABAQUS unit cell model was used to calculate the effective stress relaxation stiffness and creep compliance of the glass fiber reinforced polymer matrix composites.
- The glass fibers are isotropic and linear elastic materials with Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio being 80,000 MPa and 0.3 respectively. The volume fraction of the fibers is \( v_{of} = 20\% \).
- The elastic relaxation modulus of the isotropic and linear viscoelastic polymer materials can be expressed using Prony series in the following way:

\[
E(t) = E_0 \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k \left(1 - e^{-t/\tau_k}\right)\right) = E_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_i e^{-t/\tau_k}
\]

where \( E_0 \) is the instantaneous Young’s modulus and also given by

\[
E_0 = E_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_i = E_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_0 g_k
\]

with \( E_\infty \) being the long-term Young’s modulus;

\( \tau_k \) is the time relaxation material parameter.
Material properties of the constituents (cont.)

Table 1. Relaxation times and Prony coefficients for PMT-F4 epoxy.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$E_i$, MPa</th>
<th>$\rho_i$, s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>224.1</td>
<td>1.0e + 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>450.8</td>
<td>1.0e + 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>406.1</td>
<td>1.0e + 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>392.7</td>
<td>1.0e + 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>810.4</td>
<td>1.0e + 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>203.7</td>
<td>1.0e + 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1486.0</td>
<td>1.0e + 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In the present example, the material properties of the polymer matrix is shown in left table. The Poisson’s ratio of the polymer is 0.33.

$$E_0 = E_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^{7} E_i = 4973.8\text{ Mpa}$$

$$g_1 = \frac{E_1}{E_0} = 0.045056094; \quad g_2 = \frac{E_2}{E_0} = 0.090634927$$

$$g_3 = \frac{E_3}{E_0} = 0.081647835; \quad g_4 = \frac{E_4}{E_0} = 0.0789553717$$

$$g_5 = \frac{E_5}{E_0} = 0.162933773; \quad g_6 = \frac{E_6}{E_0} = 0.040954602$$

$$g_7 = \frac{E_7}{E_0} = 0.045056094$$

where $g_i$ is the dimensionless Young’s modulus.
Material inputs of the polymer in ABAQUS unit cell model

Note that $g_i = k_i$ with $k_i$ being the dimensionless bulk modulus.
Effective stress relaxation stiffness

The effective properties of fiber reinforced composites with the fibers in square array possess square symmetry. The effective stress relaxation stiffness matrix can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\bar{\sigma}_{11}(t) \\
\bar{\sigma}_{22}(t) \\
\bar{\sigma}_{33}(t) \\
\bar{\sigma}_{23}(t) \\
\bar{\sigma}_{12}(t) \\
\bar{\sigma}_{13}(t)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
C_{11}(t) & C_{12}(t) & C_{13}(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{21}(t) & C_{22}(t) & C_{23}(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{31}(t) & C_{32}(t) & C_{33}(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44}(t) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55}(t) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55}(t)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\bar{\sigma}_{11}^{cst} \\
\bar{\sigma}_{22}^{cst} \\
\bar{\sigma}_{33}^{cst} \\
\bar{\sigma}_{23}^{cst} \\
\bar{\sigma}_{12}^{cst} \\
\bar{\sigma}_{13}^{cst}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Where “cst” means constant values that do not vary with time but may change with position.
Load cases

In order to calculate the full set of stress relaxation stiffness of the linear viscoelastic composites, four load cases are applied:

- **Load case 1**: The constant macroscopic strain $\varepsilon_{11} = 0.1$ along direction 1 was applied by prescribing the 1 direction displacement of Reference point-1 as $u_1 = 0.01$. All other mechanical strains are set to zero.

- **Load case 2**: The constant macroscopic strain $\varepsilon_{22} = 0.1$ along direction 2 was applied by prescribing the 2 direction displacement of Reference point-2 as $u_2 = 0.1$. All other mechanical strains are set to zero.

- **Load case 3**: The constant macroscopic transverse shear strain $\gamma_{23} = 0.1$ was applied by prescribing the 3 direction displacement of Reference point-2 as $u_3 = 0.1$ and 2 direction displacement of Reference point-3 as $u_2 = 0.1$. All other mechanical strains are set to zero.

- **Load case 4**: The constant macroscopic longitudinal shear strain $\gamma_{12} = 0.1$ was applied by prescribing the 2 direction displacement of Reference point-1 as $u_2 = 0.01$ and 1 direction displacement of Reference point-2 as $u_1 = 0.1$. All other mechanical strains are set to zero.
Stress relaxation loading

The constant strain was applied since $t = 0$. 
The calculation of $C_{11}^*(t)$ and $C_{12}^*(t)$ are calculated under **Load case 1**.

The $C_{11}^*(t)$ was calculated as

$$C_{11}^*(t) = RF_1(t)/(A_1\bar{\epsilon}_{11})$$

where $RF_1(t)$ is the variation of 1 component of the reaction force of Reference point-1.

The $C_{12}^*(t)$ was calculated as

$$C_{12}^*(t) = RF_2(t)/(A_2\bar{\epsilon}_{11})$$

where $RF_2(t)$ is the variation of 2 component of the reaction force of Reference point-2.
Calculation of $C_{22}^*(t)$ and $C_{23}^*(t)$

The calculation of $C_{22}^*(t)$ and $C_{23}^*(t)$ are calculated under **Load case 2**.

The $C_{22}^*(t)$ was calculated as

$$C_{22}^*(t) = \frac{RF_2(t)}{A_2\bar{\epsilon}_{22}}$$

where $RF_2(t)$ is the variation of 2 component of the reaction force of Reference point-2.

The $C_{23}^*(t)$ was calculated as

$$C_{23}^*(t) = \frac{RF_3(t)}{A_3\bar{\epsilon}_{22}}$$

where $RF_3(t)$ is the variation of 3 component of the reaction force of Reference point-3.
The calculation of $C_{44}^*(t)$ is calculated under **Load case 3**.

The $C_{44}^*(t)$ was calculated as

$$C_{44}^*(t) = \frac{RF_2(t)}{A_2 \bar{\varepsilon}_{23}}$$

where $RF_2(t)$ is the variation of 2 component of the reaction force of Reference point-3. $\bar{\varepsilon}_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\gamma}_{23}$. 
Calculation of $C_{55}^*(t)$

The calculation of $C_{55}^*(t)$ is calculated under **Load case 4**.

Contour plot of von Mises stress of unit cell under Load case 4.

The $C_{55}^*(t)$ was calculated as

$$C_{55}^*(t) = \frac{RF_1(t)}{A_2 \bar{\epsilon}_{12}}$$

where $RF_1(t)$ is the variation of 1 component of the reaction force of Reference point-2. $\bar{\epsilon}_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \ddot{Y}_{12}$. 
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